Cell tower epidemiologic studies

TABLE 1 Summary of Epidemiological Studies of Mobile Phone Base Station Health Effects

Publication (Year; Country)	Clinical Assessment	Study Design	Base Station Details	Participants	EMF Measured	Key Findings	Strengths	Limitations
Navarro[2] (2003; Spain)	Neuro-behavioral	Survey-questionnaire	GSM-DCS 1800 MHz	101	Yes	More symptoms with closer proximity to base station (< 150 m)	Detailed questionnaire, EMF measured, distances studied[a]	Low participation, self-estimated distances, subjects aware[b]
Santini[2] (2003; France)	Neuro-behavioral	Survey-questionnaire	n/s	530	No	More symptoms with closer proximity to base station (< 300 m)	Detailed questionnaire, distances & other EMF exposures assessed	As above, plus no EMF measurements, no base station details
Eger[7] (2004; Germany)	Cancer incidence	Retrospective case review	GSM 935 MHz	967	No	3 x risk of cancer after 5 yrs of exposure (< 400 m); early age of cancer diagnosis	Maximum beam intensity calculated, reliable cancer data collection	Other environmental risk factors not assessed; analysis not adjusted for age and sex.
Wolf & Wolf[8] (2004; Israel)	Cancer incidence	Retrospective case review	TDMA 850 MHz	1844	Yes	> 4 x risk of cancer after 3–7 yrs exposure (< 350 m); early age of cancer diagnosis	Reliable cancer & demographic data, no other major environmental pollutant identified	Not all environmental risk factors assessed; possible selection bias; no age, sex adjustment.
Gadzicka[4] (2006; Poland)	Neuro-behavioral	Survey-questionnaire	n/s	500	No	More headache with proximity < 150 m; nocebo unlikely[c]	Detailed questionnaire, distances & EMF studied, nocebo studied	Subjects aware, no base station details
Hutter[5] (2006; Austria)	Neuro-behavioral	Cross-sectional	900 MHz	336	Yes	Headaches & impaired concentration at higher power density; nocebo unlikely	Detailed questionnaire and testing, EMF measured, distances studied; nocebo effect studied	Subjects aware, low participation rate
Meyer[9] (2006; Germany)	Cancer incidence	Retrospective case review	n/s	177,428	No	No increased cancer incidence in municipalities with or without base stations	Wide population assessed (Bavaria)	Observation period only 2 years, vague definitions of exposure, exposure onset unknown, distance to base station unknown
Abdel-Rassoul[6] (2007; Egypt)	Neuro-behavioral	Cross-sectional	n/s	165	Yes	More symptoms & lower cognitive performance if living under or < 10 m from base station	Detailed questionnaire and testing, EMF measured, distances studied, subjects unaware	Exact base station details n/s, low number of participants
Blettner[10] (2009; Germany)	Neuro-behavioral	Cross-sectional	n/s	30,047	No	More health complaints closer to base station (< 500 m)	Wide population assessed, detailed survey, nocebo effect assessed	EMF measurements not carried out (see phase II in Berg-Beckhoff et al., 2009; below)
Berg-Beckhoff[11] (2009; Germany)	Neuro-behavioral	Cross-sectional	GSM 900 MHz GSM 1800 MHz UMTS 1920–1980 MHz	1326	Yes	Health effects probably caused by stress and not by RF-EMF	Measured EMF emissions, standardized questionnaires	Low participation, no detailed list of symptoms published, single "spot" measurement in one place in dwelling, no occupational exposure assessed, time lag from assessment of symptoms and EMF measurement

n / s = not specified.
[a] "Distance" refers to distance between base station and subjects' households.
[b] "Subjects aware" refers to study participants being aware of the nature of the study.
[c] "Nocebo" effect unlikely because the majority of subjects in the study reported little or no concern for base station proximity.